



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/763,506	01/23/2004	Gerald W. Winsor	200314649-1	7228
22879 7590 11/24/2010 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration 3404 E. Harmony Road Mail Stop 35 FORT COLLINS, CO 80528				
EXAMINER				
CLOUD, JOIYA M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2444				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/24/2010		ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM

ipa.mail@hp.com

laura.m.clark@hp.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/763,506

Applicant(s)

WINSOR, GERALD W.

Examiner

Joiya M. Cloud

Art Unit

2444

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/C)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the communication filed on 09/10/2010. Claims 1-42 are PENDNG. Applicant's arguments have been carefully considered, but are not persuasive.

Response to Arguments

A) The Examiner declined to clearly and with specificity identify the mobile server or the application server of Abtin.

As to the above point A), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner submits further detailed claim mappings disclosing the mobile server and the application server (See Abtin, Figure 1 item 15, the mobile server via the mobile portal 25 and the application server, Figure 1, item 50. Examiner notes that lacking any specific claim language defining *how* such servers are arranged or *how* the mobile portal is connected to the mobile server, Abtin clearly anticipates the claim language. Moreover, the claim merely requires an interface that connects the mobile portal to the mobile server and not a particular arrangement of the connected entities.

B) Claim 2 requires "the web services interface is discoverable and invokeable as a stand-alone web service."

As to the above point B), Examiner respectfully disagrees. See further detailed paragraphs [0018] and [0019], Additionally, the LPP 15 may offer other interfaces such as multimedia messaging server (MMS) to enable untrusted applications to communicate with the end users through the LPP.

[0019] Referring now to FIG. 4, there is illustrated an alternative embodiment wherein the location privacy proxy 15 is connected directly to a WAP gateway 55 **without utilizing a portal connection** as described in FIG. 1. Other than the location privacy proxy 15 being directly connected to a WAP gateway 55, the configuration of the system 10 described in FIG. 3 is identical.

C) Claim 3 requires “the application server having the web services interface includes a set of business logic instructions to manage access and control of the user profile data.”

As to the above argument C), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner notes that the claim recites “a set of business logic instructions *to* manage access and control of the user profile data.” The claim recitation is merely a statement of intended use that merely suggests or makes optional the claim functionality as intended for the business logic instructions. Furthermore, given the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim and lacking specific claim language describing access and what is being accessed, Chaudhari anticipates the claim language and thus shows managing of rights (access), providing access to user profile data, see paragraph [0086].

D) Claim 16 has been amendment to require “the gateway connects the mobile portal to the communication network.”

As to the above point D), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner submits that the claim language only requires where the gateway connects the mobile portal to the communication network, however there is no requirement as to *how* such gateway connects the mobile portal to the communication network. Examiner submits, Figure 1, item 25 clearly disclosing the gateway connects the mobile portal, item 25 to the communication network. See paragraph [0013], where The WAP gateway proxy 55 acts as an interconnect between the PLMN

and an external network (i.e., the Internet 65) utilizing a protocol other than the WAP protocol such as an IP protocol).

E) However, Chaudhari fails to expressly teach that user profile information is stored in a localized database.

As to the above point E), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner submits that the instant claim language provide no explicit definition of a localized database (i.e. what is the database local to?) Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize any database that is accessible to store user profile information is localized.

F) Independent claim 34 requires “an application server having a web services interface and accessible by a mobile network.” Applicant is unable to identify any citation of Chaudhari by the Examiner directed towards these limitations.

As to the above argument F), Examiner respectfully disagrees. Examiner submits Figure 1, item 103 and paragraph [0001], where Examiner has interpreted the application server as the third party service provider also known as the application providers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international

application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abtin et al (US **Publication No. 2003/0078053 A1**).

As per claim 1, Abtin teaches a service delivery platform, comprising: a gateway having connectivity to a communication network (**paragraph [0013]**); a mobile portal (**Figure 1, item 25-mobile portal**) having connectivity to the gateway (**paragraph [0013], gateway**); a mobile server accessible by the mobile portal (**Figure 1 and paragraphs [0013] and [0015]**); and an application server (**paragraphs [0013] and [0015]**) having a web services interface connecting the mobile portal to the mobile server, wherein the web services interface includes access to the mobile portal and to an associated database structure containing user profile data (**paragraph [0013], LDAP Profile Database**), wherein the web services interface can register user profile data for services with the mobile server (**paragraphs [0013] and [0015]**).

As per claim 2, Chaudhari teaches wherein the web services interface is discoverable and invokeable as a stand-alone web service (**paragraph [0013] and [0015]**).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Abtin** in view of **Chaudhari et al (US Patent No. 2004/0259534 A1)**.

As per claim 3, Abtin discloses the invention substantially as claimed.

However Abtin does not explicitly teach wherein the application server having the web services interface includes a set of business logic instructions to manage access and control of the user profile data.

Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface includes a set of business logic instructions to manage access and control of the user profile data **(Abstract and paragraph [0044])**.

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Abtin's teachings to the teachings of Chaudhari for the purpose controlling network infrastructure and resources and security of user data **(paragraph [0051])**.

As per claim 4, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web service interface uses a web services descriptor language (WSDL) document to register user profile data with the mobile server (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0040]**).

As per claim 5, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the WSDL document is automatically generated from a Java Integrated Development Environment (IDE) (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0040]**).

As per claim 6, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the set of business logic instructions can integrate with business rule processing engines external to the platform (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0049]**).

As per claim 7, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches, wherein the application server having the web services interface includes program instruction which can execute to access the mobile server using simple object access protocol (SOAP) (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0040]**).

As per claim 8, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface includes program instruction which can execute to access the mobile server using Java Messaging Service (JMS) (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0040]**).

As per claim 9, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface includes program instruction which can execute to access the mobile server using a messaging middleware application (**Abtin: paragraph [0020]**).

As per claim 10, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface includes program instruction which can execute to access the mobile server within a common object request broker architecture (CORBA) (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0045]**).

As per claim 11, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface includes a middle tier cache to hold retrieved data from the associated database structure (**Abtin: paragraph [0020]**).

As per claim 12, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface further includes program instructions to provide session management and to clear the middle tier cache (**Abtin: paragraphs [0017] and [0020]**).

As per claim 13, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the set of business logic instructions control retrieval, update, and deletion of the user profile data (**Chaudhari: paragraph [0118]-[0123]**).

As per claim 14, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches further including instructions to log and debug (**Abtin: paragraph [0015]**).

As per claim 15, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the instructions to log include instructions to: log updates to the associated database structure; log who performed updates; log when updates were performed; log what updates were implemented; log who made requests into the platform; log when requests were made; and log what information was requested (**paragraph [0013] and [0015]**).

As per claim 16, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the gateway connects the mobile portal to the communication network. **(paragraph [0013], where The WAP gateway proxy 55 acts as an interconnect between the PLMN and an external network (i.e., the Internet 65) utilizing a protocol other than the WAP protocol such as an IP protocol).**

As per claim 17, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the mobile server includes a universal business registry of web services **(Abtin: paragraph [0017]).**

As per claim 18, ABtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface and the associated database structure containing user profile data can be accessed directly by the gateway **(Abtin: paragraph [0013] and [0015]).**

As per claim 19, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface uses templates to define profile elements in the user profile data **(paragraph [0015]).**

As per claim 20, ABtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the templates are used by program instructions to register the user profile data with the mobile server for application processing **(Abtin: paragraph [0013]).**

As per claim 21, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the profile elements are selected from the group of: a user ID; a group ID; a user name; a preferred language; a status; a first name; a last name; a last login timestamp; a street; a street number; a zip; a city; a country; a gender; a mobile subscription; a mobile subscriber ISDN; a current device location; and an email address **(Abtin: paragraph [0003]).**

As per claim 22, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web services interface is accessible across multiple network applications (**Abtin: paragraph [0013]**).

As per claim 23, Abtin-Chaudhari teaches wherein the application server having the web service interface includes program instructions which can execute to register user profile data in the associated database with a business registry of the mobile server and with a registry on one or more third party servers (**Abtin: paragraph [0013] and [0015]**).

As per claims 24-31, claims 24-31 recite similar limitations as claims 1-23 and therefore are rejected using similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 32-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Chaudhari et al. (US Publication No. 2004/0259534 A1)**

As per claim 32, Chaudhari teaches method for user profile service, comprising: collecting user profile data from multiple network sources in a localized database (**paragraphs [0041] [0043], [0046] and [0051]**, where the **Meta Directory locally stores the user profile data**); providing business rules to an application server to manage access to the collected user profile data in the database (**paragraphs [0043] [0049]**, where **policy rules and business rules are provided and determine**); and allowing different network service applications to access the collected user profile data as determined by the business rules (**paragraphs**).

As per claim 33, Chaudhari teaches a computer readable medium having instructions for causing a device to perform a method, comprising: collecting user profile data from multiple network sources in a localized database (**paragraphs [0041] [0043], [0046] and [0051]**); providing business rules to an application server to manage access to the collected user profile data in the database (**paragraphs [0043] [0049]**); and allowing different network service applications to access the collected user profile data as determined by the business rules (**paragraphs [0043] [0049]**).

As per claim 34, Chaudhari teaches mobile services delivery platform, comprising: an application server having a web services interface and accessible by a mobile network; means for storage and access of user profile data on a user profile service database in connection with the web service interface (**paragraph [0043]**, the **Metadata Directory stores information about users in the Subscriber Profile**; and means for enabling applications and/or component parts of applications to access profile elements in the user profile data and be distributed over the mobile network in connection with the web service interface (**paragraph [0044] and [0049]**, **policy and business rules enable and determine access to elements of user profile information**); means

for registering user profile data stored on the user profile service database with one or more third party databases (**paragraph [0060]**).

As per claim 35-38, Chaudhari teaches wherein the means for storage and access includes a set of computer executable instructions; wherein the means for enabling applications and/or component parts of applications to access profile elements includes a set of computer executable instructions (**paragraph [0072]**); wherein the application server includes program instructions to deploy, develop, administer, and integrate user profile data with one or more network applications (**paragraph [0072]**); and wherein the application server includes program instructions to manage: user demographic information (**paragraph [0043]**, i.e. **gender, user age, address etc**); user privilege, access and rights information (**paragraph [0043]**, **policy rules**); and user service registration information (**paragraph [0043]**, i.e. **expiry of subscription**).

As per claim 39-40, Chaudhari teaches wherein a profile element in the user profile data indicates an interest in soccer and wherein a profile element in the user profile data indicates an interest in Chinese cuisine and a disinterest in Italian cuisine (**paragraph [0050]**).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chaudhari (US Publication No. 2004/0259534 A1) in view of Das et al. (US Patent No. 7,310,307 B1).

As per claim 41-42, Chaudhari discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Chaudhari does not explicitly teach wherein the application server is accessible by wireless voice network and wherein the application server is accessible by a public wireless local area network (PwLAN)

Das teaches wherein the application server is accessible by a public wireless local area network (PwLAN) (col. 6, lines 43-54).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the teachings of Chaudhari to the teachings of Das for the purpose of providing connectivity to the user (col. 6, lines 51-54)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joiya Cloud whose telephone number is 571-270-1146. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on 571-272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-3922.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMC

November 20, 2010

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444